AP[®] ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

Question 2

The score should reflect the essay's quality as a whole. Remember that students had only 40 minutes to read and write; the paper, therefore, is not a finished product and should not be judged by standards appropriate for an out-of-class assignment. Evaluate the paper as a draft, making certain to reward students for what they do well.

All essays, even those with scores of 8 or 9, may contain occasional lapses in analysis, prose style, or mechanics. Such features should enter into a holistic evaluation of an essay's overall quality. In no case should an essay with many distracting errors in grammar and mechanics score higher than a 2.

9 Essays earning a score of 9 meet the criteria for the score of 8, and, in addition, are especially sophisticated in their argument, thorough in their development, or impressive in their control of language.

8 – Effective

Essays earning a score of 8 **effectively** analyze* the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. They develop their analysis with evidence and explanations that are appropriate and convincing, referring to the passage explicitly or implicitly. The prose demonstrates a consistent ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing but is not necessarily flawless.

7 Essays earning a score of 7 meet the criteria for the score of 6 but provide more complete explanation, more thorough development, or a more mature prose style.

6 – Adequate

Essays earning a score of 6 **adequately** analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. They develop their analysis with evidence and explanations that are appropriate and sufficient, referring to the passage explicitly or implicitly. The essay may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but generally the prose is clear.

5 Essays earning a score of 5 analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. The evidence or explanations used may be uneven, inconsistent, or limited. The writing may contain lapses in diction or syntax, but it usually conveys the student's ideas.

AP[®] ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING GUIDELINES

Ouestion 2 (continued)

4 – Inadequate

Essays earning a score of 4 **inadequately** analyze the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. These essays may misunderstand the passage, misrepresent the strategies Louv uses, or may analyze these strategies insufficiently. The evidence or explanations used may be inappropriate, insufficient, or unconvincing. The prose generally conveys the student's ideas but may be inconsistent in controlling the elements of effective writing.

3 Essays earning a score of 3 meet the criteria for the score of 4 but demonstrate less success in analyzing the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. They are less perceptive in their understanding of the passage or Louv's strategies, or the explanations or examples may be particularly limited or simplistic. The essays may show less maturity in control of writing.

2 – Little Success

Essays earning a score of 2 demonstrate **little success** in analyzing the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument about the separation between people and nature. These essays may misunderstand the prompt, misread the passage, fail to analyze the strategies Louv uses, or substitute a simpler task by responding to the prompt tangentially with unrelated, inaccurate, or inappropriate explanation. The essays often demonstrate consistent weaknesses in writing, such as grammatical problems, a lack of development or organization, or a lack of control.

- 1 Essays earning a score of 1 meet the criteria for the score of 2 but are undeveloped, especially simplistic in their explanation, or weak in their control of language.
- **0** Indicates an off-topic response, one that merely repeats the prompt, an entirely crossed-out response, a drawing, or a response in a language other than English.
- Indicates an entirely blank response.

* For the purposes of scoring, analysis refers to explaining how the author's rhetorical choices develop meaning or achieve a particular effect or purpose.

2

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering (1 of 3) on this page as it is designated in the exam.

7 A

Since the dawn of manking, even before crusticentron, man lived off the land and depended on it for survival Even in the prost an treatrens - Egypt, for experimpte mandered native controlled all aspects of life. Slew he began to learn how to control neutore and use it to our aduentereye, loday, ments connection with netwe as Richard Lour orgues in slart child Sperre and a Sad furth that contraves to in the woods, this is a rently. Lour argues against cenewatren prequess in the ising the devices of anecdote man and hatar hypethetical example, and meigen

uses an allession LOW Fells mene to threndis anedote to advance his Claim recounts way multin th-car sten perna perheel to per an hyperbolic descriptions system, Using SUDDA "The calciman's jour" dropped OPED order creete drementre effect. The result of MS description OH leads the reader to Prent il that TUSTONET ON TOP CYperionel the Lank amer 101/2 as tomermy there felt in the anecdote. BURElerry anecote a contra lite a derly or com secm he nost attempts to show that the salesman event. attitiel toweners dependence on -1cchnolegy or Threng universal M foolays Jocrety. openeon L comm un th anecdet 中日 ND VO

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

chnology and his. constant need disamproval to a opinion opinion theat continue building off the m-can entertain 10 anecdote, Louv raises a hypothetreal example show his disclain towever the rales. He proposes that too we'll someday fell our grandchilchen" that there was a I me when kids "looked out the car window" instead - playorne Ley to the phones or watching paack ceat television. The on -frij pypethetocal effectivener of example today our is about a duidhave grenelperints 1011 TV OF without internet, to certainly Louis proper hypothesis courd Prone to be five. The in should get realism OF this hypotheticed example merkes the reader realise the whether immedicae and the pere at which the issue effectively ausing alarm and nature and mem possiby more hyperthetical examples e reallers ih th Cheatthe mone

2

(20F3)

Castly and prophyse Low uses the devices of abstract and concrete magary to ordiversed the his claim of the separation of mem and neuture. Perhaps his most effective device, Louis magery paints a scene that is virtually to one ble te

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering (3 of 3) 2 on this page as it is designated in the exam. write off in that it I deeply familiar, and emotional Miser 10King Pres competion the issue. His description of "foggeel of the "relephone poles freeing by, "and country and horses" evokes a nostalgre remembrance reader's own childhead, vendering hom or her unable to regrit that degree to preserve these memorines for the future generaltrong. He makes the reader republice that this experience may be lost onth the separation titue deralles (hilding of patine is not pridged. scone and HIS men Creates a sense of wistful loss the steep of the that brings the issue home on pipersonal to anyone who has ever some gozed out a Car Withelow as a phild

to carls argument felres on anecdotal evance, pypethetical example, onel malpen prove importantly, it relies on a sense of persono attachment to the resure at here. The rubth proves that the separate d 3 perity between nomenty nature of an own that affects all of and order to preserve the golden days ot youth, we must cleare the gap. and Louv effectively prover that the seperention (5, in a word, unnertoner needs remedy. Luchly, the remeety is not unartainelble; and rether, it begins and with our own decisions.

(1 f 2)Write in the box the number of the question you are answering 2 on this page as it is designated in the exam. F difficult to compronend our pather is Loday. define We ourselves Society strive 10 as Individuals the vet times 6 many ve conform for the ťt We look effort to norm in ih. an technology with cellphones, and all all plevisions. best in forgetting vehicles Sometimes 10 just and enjoy nature. In hia of well-developed the Simplicity "Last Child Woods" Richard the Lour ĺ'n appealed essow both pathos sheer logos effort to to and in a the illustrate between Seperation people ØØ ANDO nature, ond

Mr.	Louv	Degan his	5 655	ay by	referm	g back
to a frier	nd who	purchased	a	vehicle.	When	pished
		to indu				•
rear seat,	the fr	vend Sa	id no.	TOPM	AAAAAAA	1 ANAL
Mr. Louir's	friend	desired	for	her	child	to
enjoy the	beauty	of no	ature.	Many	Americans	elaim
they wa	nt their	kids t	o wal	rch le	ss blevis	sion.
So logical	ly why	· would	they	purchase	these "r	nultimedia
entertainmen	t product	5"? Mr.	Lour	used	Imagery	ho
elaborate	on the	Simplic	ity of	f just	looking	out
the wind						
childnen's e					~	
backseat:		0				
		1				>

© 2013 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

, 	Write in the box the number of the question you are answering 233 233 (2.572)	
	the woods and fields and water beyond the allace seamy	
	edges" Using these words Mr. Louv logically implied that	
	hature can indeed instruct. We just must take the	
	time and effort to pay attention.	

As the essay formulated, Mr. Law Aashed back
to the typical childhood the MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
in a car. He claimed, "We actually looked out the cor
window," With an appeal to pathos, Mr. Lour allowed
his readers to reconnect with their joyous childish
antica. He wrote, "We saw birds My counted cows and
horses Why held our little plastic cors against the glass
and pretended that they, too, where racing towards some
unknown de stination." By doing so Mr. Lour allowed
his readers past emotions during their care ricks to
help them understand the Simple yet confounding
seperation between people and nature.

	柳树	Moreover	by	appeo	ling	to b	oth lo	igos and	ļ
Dathos		rd Louv							
people	and	rature.	for	even	through	igh a	Simple	Cor	
ride,	nature	Can Ca	11 aut	to	us,	and	allow	us	to
See (the we	truly	ane,						
· • •									

© 2013 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org. ٠

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering (1 of 2)2 on this page as it is designated in the exam. Richard Lour wn tes a people seperation pet and natu uses De retour He strategies to develop his argu quotation, netorical questions rect uses ostaligia Th of direct quotation LOT those use a poin tell th to ms Dayna ne queter not 07 when she salesman's you aropped a didn't want a backseat television said AAA The absure tus on 40 nionites ()T and reaction of provolation modern people there here held for more and more to then gold on a anant bit ob mun orm of we rhetoural questions. He un The attacks hypotritical parents who pup the backset televisions and then complain their too much = N. 2 a itho watches child world 4002120 no+ real wor ask when the watching from the packseat anym ore H questions make the reader thin Metorical it on their own behaviors concerning and technology and nature The passage corres a who a 0 a

© 2013 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

and nostalgie, the Jense of weekness on the past remenioses de 57 his child hove Ne the world Th rong el pa pseat. the Ine a r grandichild lo man felling as CA 5 s iath mocked actually expensions that he looked out the car window!" the also seems a bit saddened by This will held ren 10 701 Ľ h is nd gna C Dog D over and N 50 The pear pna X 0 Richard L netoucal -0~~ use th Q 1 Ob To netoura devices CIO nO or nostalgue ner The Tone , a now that オ N 10tation M hat

2

(20FZ)

AP[®] ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2

Overview

Ouestion 2, the analysis question, provides an opportunity for students to demonstrate their practical understanding of rhetorical analysis. Like the synthesis question, the analysis question requires students to integrate reading and writing skills. Rhetorical reading entails comprehending both the **meaning** and **purpose** of an author's **argument** and its intended **audience(s)**, and students are asked to demonstrate rhetorical comprehension of a text by explaining how the author's rhetorical decisions promote or hinder successful accomplishment of the purpose. In short, rhetorical analysis means explaining not only **what** writers are saying but also **why** and **how** they are saying it.

This year's analysis question featured a passage from Richard Louv's *Last Child in the Woods* that sounds an alarm about the increasing separation between humans and the natural world. The passage opens by mentioning advances in genetic engineering that increase nature's potential as a medium for corporate advertising. Louv then recounts an anecdote in which a car salesman pressures one of his friends to equip her vehicle with a backseat video screen and asks, "Why do so many people no longer consider the physical world worth watching?" In answer to this question, he presents a nostalgic account of the car trips of yesteryear when "children's early understanding of how cities and nature fit together was gained from the backseat," and he imagines a collective "we" telling "our" grandchildren "We actually *looked out the car window.*"

This year's analysis asked students to discern an *implicit* argument directed to audiences far less immediate and concrete than Kennedy's message and audiences in last year's prompt. Louv's "we" is a generational descriptor, separating his primary audience from the generation of readers represented by students taking the exam, a generation for whom backseat video screens have become commonplace. This year's students were therefore positioned as eavesdroppers on a conversation conducted by their elders about young people's changed relationship to the natural world. Louv conveys his message indirectly, by describing a brave new world in which butterfly wings can be designed to carry corporate logos and in which children cannot imagine entertaining themselves by looking out the car window, much less interacting directly with nature.

Sample: 2A Score: 8

In the opening paragraph of the essay, the student identifies a clear understanding of Richard Louv's argument that "man's connection with nature is sparse ... a sad truth that continues to progress in severity." While the essay presents a rather formulaic approach to analyzing the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument, each paragraph presents a full explanation of how each of the strategies (anecdote, hypothetical example, and imagery) serves to advance Louv's argument. In the second paragraph, the student insightfully analyzes how Louv's "accessible anecdote" of the friend's purchase of an SUV "leads the reader to experience the same annoyance the customer must have felt" and intentionally shows that the "salesman's attitude towards dependence on technology is one that is nearly universal in today's society." Despite the rather choppy transition between these discrete paragraphs, the student provides a convincing analysis of how Louv's presentation of the hypothetical "grandchildren" example illustrates the "shocking realism" that calls the reader's attention to the "immediacy of the issue and the pace at which the issue is progressing." The student develops the analysis further by noting how the shift to a nostalgic tone illustrates Louv's desire to communicate the danger that could occur "if the separation between people and nature is not bridged." The student's poignant observation that Louv's imagery creates "a sense of wistful loss that brings the issue home on a personal level to anyone who has ever gazed out a car window as a child" is particularly effective in analyzing how Louv's rhetorical choices are designed to illicit a particular effect and develop a particular purpose. The essay earned an 8 for its overall convincing explanations and its consistent ability to control a wide range of the elements of effective writing.

AP[®] ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND COMPOSITION 2013 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 2 (continued)

Sample: 2B Score: 6

The student opens the essay by providing an adequate understanding of Louv's argument that technology interferes with society's enjoyment of the "simplicity of nature," noting that although "we strive to define ourselves as individuals ... we conform to the norm in an effort to fit in." Using the anecdote of the friend who purchased the SUV, the student sufficiently analyzes Louv's point about the faulty logic behind the salesman's advice that Louv's friend purchase a television screen for the vehicle when many "Americans claim they want their kids to watch less television." The student later notes in the same paragraph that Louv's use of imagery allows him to elaborate on the simplicity of nature, logically implying that "nature can indeed instruct." In the third paragraph, the extensive quotations diminish the effectiveness of the student's analysis of Louv's use of an appeal to pathos to argue against the separation between people and nature. However, the student's final commentary that "through a simple car ride, nature can call out to us, and allow us to see who we truly are" provides sufficient closure to the essay. The essay earned a 6 for its adequate explanation of how the author's rhetorical choices develop meaning and its generally clear and coherent prose.

Sample: 2C Score: 3

The essay provides an inadequate analysis of the rhetorical strategies Louv uses to develop his argument, claiming only that Louv "writes a striking piece on the separation between people and nature." Each of the three body paragraphs has a similar simplistic structure: the student identifies a strategy, provides a short piece of evidence, and explains in a limited way how or why the strategy is connected to Louv's argument. In the second paragraph, the student clearly struggles with connecting rhetorical strategies to meaning when offering "direct quotation" as a strategy Louv uses to reveal "the absurd reaction of modern people and their need for more and more technology." In the third paragraph, the student misrepresents Louv's tone as angry, stating that Louv "goes on a bit of a rant in the form of rhetorical questions" and "attacks hypocritical parents." The student does later correctly recognize that Louv's anecdotes in the second half of the passage carry a "sense of nostalgia" and notes that Louv is "saddened" by the separation between people and nature. Overall, however, the essay earned a 3 for its less perceptive understanding of Louv's rhetorical choices and its particularly limited and simplistic explanations.